A study of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Non-linear Schrödinger Equation

K.Nireekshan Reddy,[1] Subhrajit Modak,[2] Kumar Abhinav,[2] and Prasanta K. Panigrahi[2]

[1]*School of Physics, University Of Hyderabad,Hyderabad-500046,Andhra Pradesh,India**

[2]*Indian Institute of Science Education and Reasearch (IISER) Kolkata, Mohanpur Campus, Mohanpur-741252*†

Systems governed by the Non-linear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE) with various external $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials are considered. Exact solutions have been obtained for the same through the method of ansatz, some of them being solitonic in nature. It is found that only the unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase is realized in these systems, characterized by real energies.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Fd,11.30.Pb,11.30.Er
Keywords: PT-symmetry,GP-equation.

arXiv:1402.5762v1 [quant-ph] 24 Feb 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent past, following the initiation by Bender *et. al.* [1, 2], quantum systems with Hamiltonians showing both parity ($\mathcal{P}$) and time-reversal ($\mathcal{T}$) or $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry have been attracting a lot of attention. They are characterized by real eigenvalues in certain parameter domain, whereas complex-conjugate pairs of eigenvalues in other, separated respectively by whether the inherent $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the system is spontaneously maintained or broken. It immediately leads, both from physical and mathematical perspectives, to the generalization of the usual Dirac-von Neumann scalar product [3], in the same sense as that of the pseudo-Hermitian systems [4]. These systems are characterized by a conserved non-local correlation, leading to unique boundary conditions [5], in agreement with experimental results [6]. Experimental realization of linear $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems, satisfying Schrödinger eigenvalue equation, have been made possible in optical analogues [7–20], where $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric dielectric constant substitute for potential under paraxial approximation. On the other hand, non-linear complex systems have been studied, both analytically and numerically, in various perspectives, e.g., field theory [21], optical wave-guides [12], lattice dynamics [22] and extensively for nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) with inherent $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry [12, 23–25]. Interestingly for the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric NLSE case, observed stable solutions, including solitons of bright and dark kind, are seen to represent only the unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$-phase, with real energies.

In this article, we analytically address a number of examples of imaginary/complex NLSE through the method of ansatz, wherein interesting systems such as $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Scarf II potential leading to solitonic solutions and harmonic $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials are considered. We restrict this discussion to the cases, where only the linear or 'trapping' part is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric, with rest of the NLSE having real parameters, *e.g.*, coupling constant and chemical potential. It is to be mentioned here that, the $\psi(\vec{r},t)$ appearing in NLSE is *not* the usual Schrödinger eigenfunction, but rather the order parameter obtained through ensemble averaging of a many-body wave-function of a second-quantized theory [26], and it also contributes to the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ as $|\psi(\vec{r},t)|^2$, thereby specifying $\hat{H}$ for each state. Thus, the correspondence of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the eigenfunction and real/complex energies of linear quantum mechanics is not intuitively carried over, though $|\psi(x,t)|^2$ still corresponds to density and there is a well-defined energy of the system. Physically, along with dispersion and non-linearity of the usual NLSE, the imaginary part of external/trapping potential provides dissipation, making a stable solution more difficult to attain. Yet, it is observed that stable solutions with real energies are obtained, analogical to the unbroken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase of linear systems. However, no complex-conjugate energy spectrum, signifying broken $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, exists in the present examples, subjected to the possible decay of such a system over the ensemble-averaging over time. In other words, being real in nature, the non-linearity cannot balance the imaginary contribution in the broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase. Thus, systems with self-coupling giving rise to imaginary/complex coefficient of the nonlinear term in the NLSE may retain a broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase, which are out of context of the present article. Also, the stable solutions obtained here, with real energy and $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric $\psi(x,t)$, owe their existence to the face that dissipation due to imaginary part of the potential is countered by the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the system itself, just as in $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Schrödinger equation, which is a linear effect.

In the following, we introduce the concept of quasi one-dimensional (1-D) NLSE and its relevance with $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, which will be followed by one extensive example of the most general ansatz solution to the NLSE with

*Electronic address: knireekshanreddy@gmail.com
†Electronic address: modoksuvrojit@iiserkol.ac.in

$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Scarf II potential. Then, after listing a number of other examples and successive discussion, we conclude.

II. $\mathcal{PT}$-SYMMETRIC NLSE

The time-independent NLSE in three dimension is given by:

$$-\frac{\partial^2\Psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial x^2}+V(\vec{r})\Psi(\vec{r},t)+g|\Psi(\vec{r},t)|^2\Psi(\vec{r},t)-\mu\Psi(\vec{r},t)=i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t},\quad g,\mu\in\Re \tag{1}$$

where $V(\vec{r})$, g, μ and E are trap potential, self-interaction coupling, chemical potential and energy respectively, all being normalized as per $2m=1$, m being the effective mass parameter associated with individual particles. In case of Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), a quasi-one-dimensional limit can be taken [27] by factorizing as : $\Psi(\vec{r})=\psi(x,t)G(y,z,\sigma)$, $\sigma(x)$ being the local particle density along x-direction, assuming the trap to be harmonic in $y-z$ plane. Then, considering a well-defined energy to exist, we finally end up with the desired form:

$$-\frac{\partial^2\psi(x)}{\partial x^2}+V(x)\psi(x)+g|\psi(x)|^2\psi(x)-\mu\psi(x)=E\psi(x). \tag{2}$$

The above quasi 1-D NLSE is adopted for analyzing for the case when the external or trapping potential $V(x)$ is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric solely because the $\mathcal{P}$-operation is uniquely defined in 1-D only, and most of the studies and understandings of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems have been obtained in 1-D. It also is befitting as quasi 1-D NLSE systems are well-understood [27, 29]. We note that, the above system has applicability to optical fibers [28].

The $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry is introduced in the above system by making $V(x)$ suitably complex, i.e.. $V(x)=V_e(x)+iV_o(x)$, with $V_{e,o}\in\Re$ and the suffixes standing for 'even' and 'odd' respectively. Below we discuss a number of such cases, starting with the extensive example of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Scarf II potential with the most generic ansatz, leading to solitonic solution under proper parameterization, followed by some other interesting case.

Example 1

We consider the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Scarf II potential, with general parametrization of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\text{sech}^2(\alpha x)+i\alpha B\text{sech}(\alpha x)\tanh(\alpha x),\quad A,B,\alpha\in\Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= a\text{sech}^\lambda(\alpha x)\exp\left[ik\tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right],\quad a\in\Re \text{ or } \Im,\ \ \lambda\in\mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with the specific value $|\lambda|=1$, yielding soliton. The corresponding terms in the NLSE are:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial^2\psi(x)}{\partial x^2} &= a\left[\left(\lambda\alpha^2+\alpha^2k^2\right)\text{sech}^\lambda(\alpha x)-\left(\lambda^2\alpha^2+\lambda\alpha^2+\alpha^2k^2\right)\text{sech}^\lambda(\alpha x)\tanh^2(\alpha x)\right]\exp\left[ik\tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right] \\ &+ i\left(2\lambda k\alpha^2+k\alpha^2\right)\text{sech}^{\lambda+1}(\alpha x)\tanh(\alpha x)\exp\left[ik\tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right], \\ V(x)\psi(x) &= a\left[-A\text{sech}^{\lambda+2}(\alpha x)+i\alpha B\text{sech}^{\lambda+1}(\alpha x)\tanh(\alpha x)\right]\exp\left[ik\tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right],\quad \& \\ g|\psi(x)|^2\psi(x) &= ga|a|^2\text{sech}^{3\lambda}(\alpha x)\exp\left[ik\tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Then, on comparing the coefficients of linearly independent terms, $\tanh(\alpha x)\text{sech}^{\lambda+1}(\alpha x)$, $\tanh^2(\alpha x)\text{sech}^\lambda(\alpha x)$ and $\text{sech}^\lambda(\alpha x)$ respectively, the following 'consistency conditions' are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} i\left[(2\lambda+1)\alpha^2k+B\alpha\right] &= 0, \\ \lambda^2\alpha^2+\lambda\alpha^2+\alpha^2k^2-A+g|a|^2 &= 0,\quad \& \\ \lambda\alpha^2+\alpha^2k^2-\mu+g|a|^2-A &= E. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then, from the parametric conditions of Eq. 3, the following conclusions are made:
1)If $Im(\lambda)=0$ and $Re(\lambda)\neq-1/2$, then k is real.
2)If $Im(\lambda)\neq0$ and $Re(\lambda)=-1/2$, then k is purely imaginary.
3)If $Im(\lambda)=0$ and $Re(\lambda)=-1/2$, then the potential is real and thus not $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric.

The first two conditions, respectively, ensure $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry and non-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the exponent and thus the same of the 'wave-function' $\psi(x)$. Further, from the last two equations of Eq. 5, the energy of the system turns out to be,

$$E = -\lambda^2\alpha^2 - \mu. \tag{6}$$

Thus, for real λ, the momentum k is real, yielding $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric wave-function with real energy. This is identifiable with the unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase of linear systems. When k is purely imaginary, then $\lambda = -1/2 \pm im$, with m being the imaginary part of λ, the wave-function is no longer $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric. Further, the corresponding energy is:

$$E = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + m^2\alpha^2 - \mu \mp im\alpha^2, \tag{7}$$

showing 'bifurcation' signifying broken $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, as m is unrestricted, taking both positive and negative values. However, from the second equation of Eq. 5, as A and g are real to begin with [Eq. 3], λ cannot have imaginary part. Thus we conclude that, the broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase is not allowed in this case, as it contradicts the initial conditions. This agrees with various theoretical and numerical results in recent times [11, 12, 25, 30–35], where only real-energy $\mathcal{PT}$-preserved phase have been realized for systems with external complex potential only. The physical reason behind this is suggested to be the instability of the mean-field ensemble-averaged solution against decay, signified by the imaginary part of the energy in a non-linear system accounting for quantum fluctuations. However, as the coefficient of the non-linear term, g, can be modified using Feshbach resonance [36] and effectively through other processes [35], bifurcations in the spectrum have been observed numerically, identifiable as the broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase. Here, we deal exclusively with systems having real g, which naturally arise in BEC and optical fibers, and do not allow such phase.

The trigonometric counterpart: On considering the transformation $\alpha \to i\alpha$, from the last example, we have the trigonometric (periodic) potential:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\sec^2(\alpha x) + i\alpha B\sec(\alpha x)\tan(\alpha x), \quad A, B, \alpha \in \Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= a\sec^\lambda(\alpha x)\exp\left[ik\tan^{-1}\{\sin(\alpha x)\}\right], \quad a \in \Re \text{ or } \Im, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Proceeding as before, the consistency conditions turn out as:

$$\begin{aligned} i\left[(2\lambda+1)\alpha^2 k - B\alpha\right] &= 0, \\ \lambda^2\alpha^2 + \lambda\alpha^2 - \alpha^2k^2 + A - g|a|^2 &= 0, \quad \& \\ -\lambda\alpha^2 + \alpha^2k^2 - \mu + g|a|^2 - A &= E. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

From above we conclude:
1) For real λ, k is real and the wave-function is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric.
2) For $\lambda = -1/2$, $B = 0$ by the first consistency condition, which is unphysical.
3) $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry is broken for imaginary or complex λ, but that is again forbidden by the second consistency condition.

Therefore, we only have the $\mathcal{PT}$-preserved phase in this case too, with real energy:

$$E = \lambda^2\alpha^2 - \mu. \tag{10}$$

Further Examples

I) For the case:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\text{sech}^2(\alpha x) + i\alpha B\tanh(\alpha x), \quad A, B, \alpha \in \Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= a\text{sech}^\lambda(\alpha x)\exp\left(ik\alpha x\right), \quad a \in \Re \text{ or } \Im, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

the consistency conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} i\left[2\lambda\alpha^2 k + B\alpha\right] &= 0, \\ -\lambda^2\alpha^2 - \lambda\alpha^2 + A - g|a|^2 &= 0, \quad \& \\ \lambda\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 k^2 - \mu - g|a|^2 - A &= E. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here, λ must be real and non-zero, to yield only the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase, with energy:

$$E = k^2\alpha^2 - \lambda^2\alpha^2 - \mu, \tag{13}$$

with momentum k being real.

The trigonometric counterpart of the above system is:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\sec^2(\alpha x) + i\alpha B\tan(\alpha x), \quad A, B, \alpha \in \Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= a\sec^{\lambda}(\alpha x)\exp\left(ik\alpha x\right), \quad a \in \Re \text{ or } \Im, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with consistency conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} i\left[2\lambda\alpha^2 k - B\alpha\right] &= 0, \\ -\lambda^2\alpha^2 - \lambda\alpha^2 - A + g|a|^2 &= 0, \quad \& \\ -\lambda\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 k^2 - \mu + g|a|^2 - A &= E. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The obtained phase is always $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric, with energy:

$$E = k^2\alpha^2 + \lambda^2\alpha^2 - \mu, \tag{16}$$

with real k.

II) For the case:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\sinh^{-2}(\alpha x) + i\alpha B\coth(\alpha x), \quad A, B, \alpha \in \Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= a\sinh^{-\lambda}(\alpha x)\exp\left(ik\alpha x\right), \quad a \in \Re \text{ or } \Im, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

the consistency conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} i\left[2\lambda\alpha^2 k + B\alpha\right] &= 0, \\ -\lambda^2\alpha^2 - \lambda\alpha^2 + A + g|a|^2 &= 0, \quad \& \\ \lambda\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 k^2 - \mu - g|a|^2 + A &= E, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

leading again to real λ and hence, momentum k, yielding real energy:

$$E = k^2\alpha^2 - \lambda^2\alpha^2 - \mu, \tag{19}$$

giving only the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase.

The trigonometric counterpart of the above system:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\csc^2(\alpha x) + i\alpha B\cot(\alpha x), \quad A, B, \alpha \in \Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= a\csc^{\lambda}(\alpha x)\exp\left(ik\alpha x\right), \quad a \in \Re \text{ or } \Im, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

yields the consistency conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} i\left[2\lambda\alpha^2 k + B\alpha\right] &= 0, \\ -\lambda^2\alpha^2 - \lambda\alpha^2 - A + g|a|^2 &= 0, \quad \& \\ -\lambda\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 k^2 - \mu + g|a|^2 - A &= E, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

leading to the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase of real energy:

$$E = k^2\alpha^2 + \lambda^2\alpha^2 - \mu. \tag{22}$$

III) Finally, we analyse a phase-locking form of ansatz solution for the system:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= -A\mathrm{sech}^2(x) + iB\mathrm{sech}(x)\tanh(x), \quad A, B \in \Re \\ \text{with ansatz}: \quad \psi(x) &= ia\mathrm{sech}(x) + b\tanh(x), \quad a, b \in \Re, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

leading to consistency conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} gab^2 + Bb - Aa - 2a - ga^3 &= 0 \\ ga^2 b + Ab - Ba + 2b - gb^3 &= 0 \\ 1 + a^2 g + A - \mu &= E \\ gb^2 - \mu &= E, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

which finally yields real energy:

$$E = ga^2 - \mu = gb^2 - \mu, \tag{25}$$

for the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase.

III. CONCLUSION

We have analyzed various examples of quasi 1-D NLSE with complex external potential having $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry. It was found that only the unbroken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase is realized under most general parameterization, leading to real-energy solutions which are of both solitonic and periodic nature, and thus stable and experimentally observable. A broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase may be realized through suitable complexification of the self-interaction term, leading to the bifurcation in the complex energy space, observed in effective systems through numerical study. This also opens-up a gateway to the interplay between quantum fluctuations captured by dissipation after mean-field averaging.

[1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5243; C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, P.N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 2201; C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, H. F. Jones, V. M. Savage, J. Math. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 6771.

[2] M.Znojil *Phys.Lett.* **A285** 7 (2001); B.Bagchi, S.Mallik and C.Quesne *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A17** 1651 (2002).

[3] C. M. Bender, F. Cooper, P. N. Meisinger and V. M. Savage, Phys. Lett. A **259** (1999) 224; A. Mostafazadeh, Nucl. Phys. B **640** (2002) 419; C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 270401; C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, Am. J. Phys. **71** (2003) 1095; G. S. Japaridze, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** (2003) 1709, Z. Ahmed, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 9711.

[4] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003) 974.

[5] K. Abhinav, P. K. Panigrahi and A, Jayannavar, Ann. of Phys. (NY) **331** (2013) 110.

[6] S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. A **82** (2010) 031801(R), Physics **3** (2010) 61; Y. D. Chong, Li Ge, Hui Cao, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 053910, W. Wan, Y. Chong, L. Ge, H. Noh, A. D. Stone, H. Cao, Science **331** (2011) 889.

[7] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D.N. Christodoulides,and U. Peschel, Nature **488**, 167 (2012).
[8] C.E. Rutter, K.G. Makris, R.El-Ganainy, D.N. Christodoulides, M. Segev and D. Kip, Nature Physics **6**, 192 (2010).
[9] T. Kottos, Nature Physics **6**, 166 (2010).
[10] A. Guo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009).
[11] K.G. Makris, R.El-Ganainy, and D.N. Christodoulides and Z.H. Musslimani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 103904 (2008).
[12] Z.H. Musslimani, K.G. Makris, R.El-Ganainy and D.N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030402 (2008).
[13] M.V. Berry, J. Phys. A **41**, 244007 (2008).
[14] S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 123601 (2009).
[15] Y.V. Kartashov et al., Opt. Lett. **35**, 1638 (2010).
[16] R.El-Ganainy, K.G. Makris, D.N. Christodoulides, Z.H. Musslimani, Opt. Lett. **32**, 2632 (2007).
[17] C.T. West, T. Kottos and T. Prosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 054102 (2010).
[18] S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 235102 (2009).
[19] O. Bendix, R. Fleischmann, T. Kottos, and B. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 030402 (2009).
[20] S. Klaiman, U. Gunther, and N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 080402 (2008).
[21] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. D 70, 025001 (2004).
[22] Xing Zhu, Hong Wang, Li-Xian Zheng, Huagang Li, and Ying-Ji He, Opt. Lett. 36, 2680 (2011).
[23] H. Ramezani, T. Kottos, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043803 (2010); A. A. Sukhorukov, Z. Xu, and Yu. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043818 (2010).
[24] K. Li and P. G. Kevrekidis, Phys. Rev. E 83, 066608 (2011); R. Driben and B. A. Malomed Opt. Lett. 36, 4323 (2011); F. Kh. Abdullaev, V. V. Konotop, M. Ogren, and M. P. Srensen Opt. Lett. 36, 4566 (2011).
[25] F. Kh. Abdullaev, Y. V. Kartashov, V. V. Konotop, and D. A. Zezyulin, Phys. Rev. A 83 041805(R), (2011); D. A. Zezyulin, Y. V. Kartashov, V. V. Konotop, Europhys. Lett. 96, 64003 (2011); A. E. Miroshnichenko, B. A. Malomed, and Yu. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 84, 012123 (2011); Y. He, X. Zhu, D. Mihalache, J. Liu, and Z. Chen, Phys. Rev. A 85, 013831 (2012).
[26] a. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, "*Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems*", Courier Dover Publications, U.S.A. (2003).
[27] A. D. Jackson, G. M. Kavoulakis and C. J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2417 (1998); L. Salasnich, A. Parola and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. A **65** 043614 (2002); *ibid.*, **69**, 045601 (2004).
[28] G. P. Agarwal, "*Nonlinear Fiber Optics*", 5th Edition, Elsevier Publications, U. S. A. (2013).
[29] A. D. Jackson and G. M. Kavoulakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 070403 (2002).
[30] F. Kh. Abdullaev, A. Gammal, H. L. F. da Luz and L. Tomio, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 43611 (2007).
[31] Z. H. Musslimani, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy and D. N. Christodoulides, J. Phys. A **41**, 244019 (2008).
[32] F. Kh. Abdullaev, V. V. Konotop, M. Salerno, and A. V. Yulin, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 56606 (2010).
[33] Yu. V. Bludov and V. V. Konotop, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 13625 (2010).
[34] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides and Z. H. Musslimani, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **50** 1019 (2011).
[35] H. Cartarius, D. Haag, D. Dast and G. WunnerJ. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 444008 (2012) and references therein.
[36] H. Feshbach, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **5**, 357 (1958); C. Pethick and H. Smith, "*BoseEinstein Condensation in Dilute Gases*", Cambridge University Press (2002) and references therein.